

Covariant quantization

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

naive:  $\pi^\mu(x) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \Rightarrow \pi^i = -\pi_i, \quad \pi^0 = +E^0$

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu)$$

$$\pi^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_i)} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_\nu \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \partial_\alpha A_\beta + \dots) \right] = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial^0 A^i \cdot 2 - \partial^i A^0 \cdot 2) = -\dot{A}^i + \partial^i A^0 = -F^{0i} = E^i$$

$$[A^i(t, \vec{x}), \pi^j(t, \vec{y})] = -i \delta^{ij} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

$$[A^i(t, \vec{x}), A^j(t, \vec{y})] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu A^i)} = \pi_i = -\pi^i$$

Covariant generalization of the above reads:  $[A^\mu(t, \vec{x}), \pi^\nu(t, \vec{y})] = i \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$  (\*)

$$[A^\mu(t, \vec{x}), A^\nu(t, \vec{y})] = 0$$

(\*) can not be correct since  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_0)} = 0$ , so there is no  $\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 = 0$  so it must commute with  $A^0$

Let's modify  $L$  to fix the problem

$$L \rightarrow L' = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 \quad (\text{no gauge invariance})$$

$$\text{Then } L' = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)(\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$$

$$L' = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu + \text{total derivative} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu + \partial^\nu [(\partial_\mu A_\nu) A^\mu] = (\partial^\nu A_\nu)(\partial_\mu A^\mu) - \partial_\mu [(\partial^\nu A_\nu) A^\mu] + \partial^\nu [(\partial_\mu A_\nu) A^\mu] - \partial_\mu [(\partial^\nu A_\nu) A^\mu]$$

$$\pi^0 = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu A_\mu \neq 0$$

-Final EoM implied by  $L'$

$$\partial^\mu \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'}{\partial (\partial^\mu A^\nu)} \right] = -\partial^\nu \left[ F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} 2 (\partial_\mu A^\nu)^2 \right] = -\partial^\nu (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) - \partial_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu = -\square A_\nu = 0$$

$$\square A_\mu = 0 \Rightarrow A_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \left[ \epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} + \epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda}^{\dagger} e^{ipx} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} p = (p, 0, 0, p) \\ \omega_p = p \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \epsilon^{\mu}(p, 0) = (1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \epsilon^{\mu}(p, 1) = (0, 1, 0, 0) \\ \epsilon^{\mu}(p, 2) = (0, 0, 1, 0) \quad \epsilon^{\mu}(p, 3) = (0, 0, 0, 1) \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon^{\mu}(p, \lambda) = \eta^{\mu\lambda}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, \lambda) \cdot \epsilon^{\mu}(p, \lambda) = \eta_{\lambda\lambda}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, 0) \cdot p^{\mu} \neq 0, \quad \epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, 3) \cdot p^{\mu} \neq 0, \quad \underline{\epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, 1) \cdot p^{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(p, 2) \cdot p^{\mu} = 0}$$

\(\downarrow\)  
 $\epsilon^{\mu}(p, 1), \epsilon^{\mu}(p, 2)$  - transverse

- Show that  $[a_{p, \lambda}, a_{p', \lambda'}^{\dagger}] = -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \eta_{\lambda\lambda'}$

$$[a_{p, \lambda}, a_{p', \lambda'}^{\dagger}] = [a_{p, \lambda}, a_{p', \lambda'}^{\dagger}] = 0 \quad \underline{\eta_{00} = -\eta_{33} = 1}$$

imply  $[A^{\mu}(t, \vec{x}), \Pi^{\nu}(t, \vec{y})] = i \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$

$$|\vec{p}, \lambda\rangle = (2\omega_p)^{1/2} a_{p, \lambda}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$$

- Find  $\langle p, \lambda | p, \lambda \rangle$

$$\langle p, \lambda | p, \lambda \rangle = 2\omega_p \langle 0 | a_{p, \lambda} a_{p, \lambda}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle = 2\omega_p \langle 0 | [a_{p, \lambda}, a_{p, \lambda}^{\dagger}] | 0 \rangle = -2\omega_p \underbrace{(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(0)}_{\downarrow \downarrow} \eta_{\lambda\lambda} =$$

The state  $|p, 0\rangle$  has a negative norm  $\Leftarrow = -2\omega_p V \eta_{00}$

Note that we have modified the Lagrangian by adding  $-\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$ ,

now we fix that by requiring that

$$\langle \text{phys} | \partial_\mu A^\mu | \text{phys} \rangle = 0 \quad (*)$$

So, we impose the Lorentz gauge condition as operator acting on physical fields (definition of the subspace of physical states).

We have to check if the gauge condition (\*) eliminates the states with negative norm.

$$\partial_\mu A^\mu = (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^+ + (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^- \quad \text{with} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^+ \\ (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^- \end{array} \right\} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\alpha_p)^{1/2}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -i \epsilon^\mu(p, \lambda) p_\mu a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} \\ +i \epsilon^{\mu*}(p, \lambda) p_\mu a_{p, \lambda}^+ e^{ipx} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\langle \text{phys} | \left. \begin{array}{l} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^+ \\ (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^- \end{array} \right| \text{phys} \rangle = 0 \quad \leftarrow \quad (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^- = \left[ (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^+ \right]^\dagger$$

$$\langle \text{phys} | (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^- = 0 \quad | \text{phys} \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{phys} | (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^+ + (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^- | \text{phys} \rangle = 0$$

Consider a state  $|4\rangle = \sum_{\lambda'} c_{\lambda'} a_{p, \lambda'}^+ |0\rangle$   $p^\mu = (p, 0, 0, p)$

For  $|4\rangle$  to be a physical state we require

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^+ |4\rangle &= i \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\alpha_p)^{1/2}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \epsilon(p, \lambda) \cdot p a_{p, \lambda} \sum_{\lambda'=0}^3 c_{\lambda'} a_{p, \lambda'}^+ |0\rangle e^{-ipx} = 0 \\ &= \dots = \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'=0}^3 \epsilon(p, \lambda) \cdot p c_{\lambda'} \left( \underbrace{[a_{p, \lambda}, a_{p, \lambda'}^+]} + a_{p, \lambda'}^+ a_{p, \lambda} \right) |0\rangle e^{-ipx} \\ &= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^3 (2\alpha_p)^{1/2}} [-\epsilon^3]^3 \left[ \underbrace{\epsilon(p, 0) \cdot p \cdot c_0 - \epsilon(p, 3) \cdot p \cdot c_3}_{-\epsilon(p, 0) \cdot p} \right] e^{-ipx} |0\rangle = 0$$

$$c_0 + c_3 = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon^\mu(p, 0) = (1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \epsilon^\mu(p, 3) = (0, 0, 0, 1) \\ p^\mu = (p, 0, 0, p) \end{array} \right.$$

$p = (p, 0, 0, p) \quad (a_{p, 0}^+ - a_{p, 3}^+) |0\rangle$

$$\downarrow \quad L_T = (| \psi_T \rangle, | \phi \rangle)$$

The most general 1-particle physical state :  $| \psi_T \rangle + c | \phi \rangle$  for  $| \phi \rangle = (a^\dagger(p,0) - a^\dagger(p,3)) | 0 \rangle$   
created by  $a_{p,1}^\dagger$  or  $a_{p,2}^\dagger$

- show that  $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = 0$  and that

$| \psi_T \rangle + c | \phi \rangle$  does not change any product

$$\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \langle 0 | (a(p,0) - a(p,3)) (a^\dagger(p,0) - a^\dagger(p,3)) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | (a(p,0) a^\dagger(p,0) + a(p,3) a^\dagger(p,3) + a(p,0) a^\dagger(p,3) - a(p,3) a^\dagger(p,0)) | 0 \rangle$$

$$[a(p,\lambda), a^\dagger(p',\lambda')] = -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \eta_{\lambda\lambda'} \Rightarrow [a(p,0), a^\dagger(p,3)] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow = \langle 0 | (a(p,0) a^\dagger(p,0) + a(p,3) a^\dagger(p,3)) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | ([a(p,0), a^\dagger(p,0)] + [a(p,3), a^\dagger(p,3)]) | 0 \rangle = \\ & = 0 \quad \text{since} \quad [a(p,0), a^\dagger(p,0)] = -[a(p,3), a^\dagger(p,3)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle \psi_T' | + c' \langle \phi |) (| \psi_T \rangle + c | \phi \rangle) &= \langle \psi_T' | \psi_T \rangle + c \langle \psi_T' | \phi \rangle + c' \langle \phi | \psi_T \rangle + \\ &+ c c' \langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \langle \psi_T' | \psi_T \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \psi_T' | \phi \rangle \propto \langle 0 | (c_1^\dagger a(p,1) + c_2^\dagger a(p,2)) (a^\dagger(p,0) - a^\dagger(p,3)) | 0 \rangle = 0$$

Therefore  $| \psi_T \rangle + c | \phi \rangle$  has the same norm and products as  $| \psi_T \rangle$   
 where  $| \psi_T \rangle \propto (c_1 a^\dagger(p,1) + c_2 a^\dagger(p,2)) | 0 \rangle$

# Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha A_\beta) (\partial^\alpha A^\beta) \Rightarrow \Pi^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = -\partial^\mu A^\nu = -\dot{A}^\nu$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\alpha)} \partial^\nu A_\alpha \Rightarrow \mathcal{H} = T^{00} = -\mathcal{L} + \Pi^\alpha \dot{A}_\alpha = -\mathcal{L} - \dot{A}_\alpha \dot{A}^\alpha = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha A_\beta) (\partial^\alpha A^\beta) - \dot{A}_\alpha \dot{A}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \dot{A}_\beta \dot{A}^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i A_\beta \partial^i A^\beta - \dot{A}_\alpha \dot{A}^\alpha = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \dot{A}_\alpha \dot{A}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i A_\alpha) (\partial^i A^\alpha) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{2} [(\dot{A}_0)^2 - (\dot{A}_k)^2] - \frac{1}{2} [(\partial_i A_0)^2 - (\partial_i A_k)^2] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(\dot{A}_k)^2 + (\nabla A_k)^2] \ominus \frac{1}{2} [(\dot{A}_0)^2 + (\nabla A_0)^2] \end{aligned}$$

wrong sign!

$$H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (\dot{A}_\mu \dot{A}^\mu + \partial_i A_\mu \partial^i A^\mu)$$

$$A_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 [\epsilon_{\mu\lambda}(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} + \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}^*(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda}^\dagger e^{ipx}]$$

$$\dot{A}_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} (-i\omega_p) \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 [\epsilon_{\mu\lambda}(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} - \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}^*(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda}^\dagger e^{ipx}]$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \dot{A}_\mu \dot{A}^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_{p'})^{1/2}} (-i)^2 \omega_p \omega_{p'} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} [\epsilon_{\mu\lambda}(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} - \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}^*(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda}^\dagger e^{ipx}] [\epsilon^{\nu\lambda'}(p', \lambda') a_{p', \lambda'} e^{-ip'x} - \epsilon^{\nu\lambda'}(p', \lambda') a_{p', \lambda'}^\dagger e^{ip'x}] =$$

$$= +\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} (2\pi)^3 \omega_p^2 \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} \left[ -a_{p, \lambda} a_{p, \lambda'}^\dagger \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}(p, \lambda) \cdot \epsilon^{\nu\lambda'}(p, \lambda) - a_{p, \lambda}^\dagger a_{p, \lambda'} \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}^*(p, \lambda) \cdot \epsilon^{\nu\lambda'}(p, \lambda) + \right.$$

$$\left. + a_{p, \lambda} a_{-p, \lambda'}^\dagger \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}(p, \lambda) \cdot \epsilon^{\nu\lambda'}(-p, \lambda') e^{-2i\omega_p t} + a_{p, \lambda}^\dagger a_{-p, \lambda'} \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}^*(p, \lambda) \cdot \epsilon^{\nu\lambda'}(-p, \lambda') e^{2i\omega_p t} \right]$$

$$\dot{\partial}_i A_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} (ip^i) \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 [\epsilon_{\mu\lambda}(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} - \epsilon_{\mu\lambda}^*(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda}^\dagger e^{ipx}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \partial_i A_\mu \partial_i A^\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_{p'})^{1/2}} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} (i\vec{p}) \left[ \epsilon_\lambda(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda} e^{-ipx} + \epsilon_\lambda^*(p, \lambda) a_{p, \lambda}^+ e^{ipx} \right] (i\vec{p}') \left[ \epsilon_{\lambda'}(p', \lambda') a_{p', \lambda'} e^{-ip'x} + \epsilon_{\lambda'}^*(p', \lambda') a_{p', \lambda'}^+ e^{ip'x} \right] \\
 &= +\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_p)^{1/2}} \int d^3x e^{-i(\vec{p} \pm \vec{p}') \cdot \vec{x}} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} \left\{ -a_{p, \lambda} a_{p', \lambda'}^+ \epsilon_\lambda(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}^*(p', \lambda') - a_{p, \lambda}^+ a_{p', \lambda'} \epsilon_\lambda^*(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}(p', \lambda') + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - a_{p, \lambda} a_{-p, \lambda'}^+ \epsilon_\lambda(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}^*(-p, \lambda') e^{-2i\omega_p t} - a_{p, \lambda}^+ a_{-p, \lambda'} \epsilon_\lambda^*(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}(-p, \lambda') e^{2i\omega_p t} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (\dot{A}_\mu \dot{A}^\mu + \partial_i A_\mu \partial_i A^\mu) &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4\omega_p} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'=0}^3 \left\{ a_{p, \lambda} a_{p, \lambda'}^+ \underbrace{\epsilon_\lambda(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}^*(p, \lambda')}_{2\omega_p} \underbrace{(-\omega_p^2 - \vec{p}^2)}_{-2\omega_p^2} + a_{p, \lambda}^+ a_{p, \lambda'} \underbrace{\epsilon_\lambda^*(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}(p, \lambda')}_{-2\omega_p^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + a_{p, \lambda} a_{-p, \lambda'}^+ \epsilon_\lambda(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}^*(-p, \lambda') e^{-2i\omega_p t} \underbrace{(\omega_p^2 - \vec{p}^2)}_{=0} + a_{p, \lambda}^+ a_{-p, \lambda'} \epsilon_\lambda^*(p, \lambda) \epsilon_{\lambda'}(-p, \lambda') e^{2i\omega_p t} \underbrace{(\omega_p^2 - \vec{p}^2)}_{=0} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\omega_p}{2} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \left\{ a_{p, \lambda} a_{p, \lambda}^+ + a_{p, \lambda}^+ a_{p, \lambda} \right\} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \omega_p \left( -a_{p, 0}^+ a_{p, 0} + \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{p, i}^+ a_{p, i} \right)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{for } \lambda=0 \\ -1 & \text{for } \lambda=1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

$$\vec{P} = \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{p}' \left( -a_{p', 0}^+ a_{p', 0} + \sum_{\lambda=1, 2, 3} a_{p', \lambda}^+ a_{p', \lambda} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda} a_{p, \lambda}^+ a_{p, \lambda}$$

- Show that the condition  $c_0 + c_3 = 0$  can be rewritten as

$$(a_{p, 0} - a_{p, 3}) |4\rangle = 0 \quad (***)$$

- Show that the condition  $c_0 + c_3 = 0$  can be rewritten as

$$(a_{p,0} - a_{p,3}) | \psi \rangle = 0 \quad (**)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{p,0} - a_{p,3}) \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 c_{\lambda} a_{q, \lambda}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 c_{\lambda} (a_{p,0} a_{q, \lambda}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle - a_{p,3} a_{q, \lambda}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle) = \\ &= [-(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \underbrace{\eta_{0\lambda}}_{+1} + (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \underbrace{\eta_{3\lambda}}_{-1}] | 0 \rangle \\ &= -(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) (c_0 + c_3) | 0 \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

⇓

$$c_0 + c_3 = 0$$

- Show that the contributions  $-a_{p,0}^{\dagger} a_{p,0} + a_{p,3}^{\dagger} a_{p,3}$  cancel each other while acting on physical states

$$\langle \text{phys}' | -a_{p,0}^{\dagger} a_{p,0} + a_{p,3}^{\dagger} a_{p,3} | \text{phys} \rangle = \langle \text{phys}' | (-a_{p,0}^{\dagger} + a_{p,3}^{\dagger}) a_{p,0} | \text{phys} \rangle = 0$$

↑
↑  
(\*\*)
(\*\*)<sup>†</sup>

⇓

only the transverse states  $| \psi_{\perp} \rangle$  generated by  $a_{p,1}^{\dagger}, a_{p,2}^{\dagger}$  contribute to expectation values of  $H, \vec{P}$

⇓

$| \psi_{\perp} \rangle$  and  $| \psi_{\perp} \rangle + c | \phi \rangle$  have the same energy and momentum,  $L = (a_{p,0}^{\dagger} - a_{p,3}^{\dagger}) | 0 \rangle$  and the same product with

all other physical states

⇓

free physical photons have two degrees of freedom (transverse)